The Composition of the Astasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā

By EDWARD CONZE

THE Astasāhasrikā prajūāpāramitā, like many other Oriental books, is a collective work which has been subjected to additions and alterations in the course of the centuries, to suit the tastes of new generations. In this respect it does not differ from the Mahāvastu, the Lalitavistara, the Saddharmapundarīka, the Suvarņaprabhāsa, etc., which have all been slowly built up over a long period. If the historical investigation of the doctrinal development within the Mahāyāna is to make any progress, we must learn to distinguish between the different layers in these texts. Some work has been done already on the Saddharmapuṇḍarīka,¹ the Samādhirāja,² the Suvarṇaprabhāsa,³ and the Kārandavyūha.4 Without hoping to exhaust the subject, I intend to point out in this article the most obvious accretions to the basic original text of the Asta. This, in its turn, must have grown gradually, but in the present state of our knowledge we cannot, I think, trace out its growth. In any case, such analytical studies of ancient writings are tedious to compose and unattractive to read, and when carried too far they threaten to shatter and pulverize the very text which they set out to examine, as we have seen in the case of Homer and the New Testament.

In addition to the text of the Asta itself, we have at our disposal two other sources for the examination of this problem: the early Chinese translations and the Ratnagunasamcayagāthā (= Rgs). The earliest Chinese translation, by Chih-lou-chia-ch'ên (Lokaksema?) goes back to A.D. 180, and that of Chih-ch'ien to A.D. 225. The chapter headings of these two versions seem to suggest that by c. A.D. 150 the text of the Astao was constituted roughly as it is to-day. I have been unable to consult these old translations in any detail, and it must be left to someone else, better qualified, to compare the Chinese versions with our Sanskrit text, and to record the passages which they lack. The Ratnagunasamcayagāthā are a collection of 302 Gāthās, in Buddhist Sanskrit, which reproduce a substantial part of the text of the Asta°. It is well known that the early Mahāyāna Sūtras generally expound each topic twice, once in prose and once in verse. In the case of the Astao the verses seem to have been taken out and made into a separate book, which also recurs as Chapter 84 of the Tibetan recension of the Astādaśasāhasrikā (= Ad). The original text of the Rgs seems to have perished. The existing text, printed by E. Obermiller,⁵ has been rearranged by Haribhadra (c. A.D. 800) so as to make it correspond to the present chapter division of the Asta. Its value for chronological and historical studies is thereby

¹ By H. Kern and W. Soothill in the Introductions to their translations, 1884 and 1930. There is also a Japanese study by K. Fuse, mentioned in *Bibliographie Bouddhique*, ii, 1929–1930, no. 136.

² Cf. N. Dutt, Gilgit Manuscripts, ii, 1941.

³ Cf. J. Nobel's edition, 1937.

⁴ Cf. M.-Th. de Mallmann, Introduction a l'étude d'Avalokitesvara, 1948, pp. 39-47.

⁵ Bibliotheca Buddhica, 1937.

greatly diminished, and we cannot be certain that Haribhadra did not add, omit, or alter occasional verses.

We have thus three landmarks in the history of the $Asta^o$: (1) The $Abhisamay\bar{a}lank\bar{a}r\bar{a}loka$ of Haribhadra, c.800, which comments on a text identical with our present one, which in its turn is attested by manuscripts from c. A.D. 1000 onwards. (2) The text of the earliest Chinese translation, c. A.D. 150. (3) The text summarized by the $G\bar{a}th\bar{a}s$ of Rgs. In its present shape the Rgs dates from A.D. 800, but large portions of it may well go back to before 50 B.C. I will in this article mainly rely on the internal evidence of the text of the $Asta^o$, supported by the more obvious inferences that can be drawn from Rgs.

T.

First of all, it is obvious that Chapters 29 to 31 are later than the remainder of the $Asta^{\circ}$, both on external and internal grounds. The verses which our Rgs gives under Chapters 29 to 31 do not correspond at all to the text of the $Asta^{\circ}$. The Rgs has filled the gap with a short treatise on the five perfections, beginning with the $dhy\bar{a}na$ - $p\bar{a}ramit\bar{a}$. The $Abhisamay\bar{a}lank\bar{a}ra$ makes no attempt to fit Chapters 29 to 31 into its scheme. It is true that Haribhadra's $\bar{A}loka$ seems to correlate Chapter 29 with the end of the 5th, and with the 6th to 8th abhisamaya. In actual fact, the correlation is quite superficial, and was obviously never intended by the author of the $Abhisamay\bar{a}lank\bar{a}ra$, which is based on the $Pa\tilde{n}cavimsatis\bar{a}hasrik\bar{a}$ (= P). The last items of the 5th abhisamaya, as well as the 6th to 8th abhisamaya, sum up a part of the $Pa\tilde{n}cavimsati$, to which there is no counterpart at all in the $Asta^{\circ}$.

Chapter 29 is an independent essay in the form of a litany. Three other litanies have been incorporated into our text of the $Asta^{\circ}$, at vii, 170–1, ix, 205–7, and xxxi, 525–6. In Rgs none of them is even alluded to. Chapter 29 is absent in all the more extensive recensions of the $Praj\tilde{n}ap\bar{a}ramit\bar{a}$, i.e. in S, P, and Ad, which in general follow the $Asta^{\circ}$ fairly closely.

Chapters 30 and 31 give the story, carried on into the first page of Chapter 32, of the Bodhisattva Sadāprarudita ("Ever-wailing"), who went out to seek for perfect wisdom, and who was willing to sacrifice everything to gain it. The almost turgid devotionalism of these chapters is very unlike the lucid rationality which marks the sober and highly intellectual discussions between the Lord and his disciples in the first chapter of the Asta°. The story of Sadāprarudita serves the purposes of propaganda and edification. Its authors wished to inspire devotion to the perfection of wisdom and to show that inability to understand it is due to the unworthiness of those who are unwilling to make the necessary self-sacrifices.

The somewhat abstract and unfactual text of the Asta° is normally devoid of data which are even roughly datable. At first sight one is tempted to assign Chapter 30 to the first century of the Christian era on the basis of a curious

¹ pp. 893-926.

 $^{^2}$ i.e. folios 465 to 593 of P., or Chapters 53 to 73 of Šatasāhasrikā (= S).

passage in Chapter 30, p. 507, 12–18, which offers a striking parallel to a passage in the Revelation of St. John (v, 1). I give the two passages in parallel columns:—

Sadāprarudita: kvāsau Kauśika prajñāpāramitā yā bodhisattvānām mahāsattvānām mātā pariņāyikā?

Šakra āha: eṣā kulaputra-asya kūṭāgārasya madhye suvarṇa-paṭṭeṣu vilīnena vaidūryeṇa likhitvā āryeṇa Dharmodgatena bodhisattvena mahāsattvena saptabhir mudrābhir mudrayitvā sthāpitā sā na sukarāsmābhis tava darśayitum.

 $Sad\bar{a}prarudita$: Where is this perfection of wisdom which is the mother and guide of the Bodhisattvas, the great beings?

Śakra: It has, son of good family, been placed by the holy Dharmodgata, the Bodhisattva, the great being, in the middle of this pointed tower, after he had written it on golden tablets with melted Vaiḍūrya, and sealed it with seven seals. We cannot easily show it to you.

καὶ εἶδον ἐπὶ τὴν δεξιὰν τοῦ καθημένου ἐπὶ τοῦ θρόνου βιβλίον γεγραμμένον ἔσωθεν καὶ ἔξωθεν, κατεσφραγισμένον σφραγίσιν ἔπτά.

And I saw lying in the right hand of Him who sat on the throne a book written within and without closely sealed with seven seals.

The parallelism between Aṣṭa° and Revelation is not confined to this one passage. It extends over the entire context. Not to mention that sadā prarudita means "Ever-weeping", and that St. John in v, 4, "weeps bitterly," the reason for introducing the book with the seven seals is the same in both cases. Revelation v, 2, asks who is worthy to open the book and to break its seals. The answer is that it is the Lamb alone, slaughtered in sacrifice (v, 9). In the same way, Chapters 30 and 31 of the Aṣṭa° describe in detail how Sadāprarudita slaughtered himself in sacrifice, and how thereby he became worthy of the perfection of wisdom.

This parallel is interesting as showing a new connexion between Christian and Buddhist scriptures. It does not, however, prove that Chapter 30 was composed in the first century of the Christian era, especially since the passage in question is absent in the two oldest Chinese translations. There are as far as I can see three possibilities: either the Aṣṭa° borrowed from Revelation, or Revelation borrowed from the Aṣṭa°, or both borrow from a common source, i.e. a tradition current in mystical circles in the Mediterranean. Although the term mudrā plays a big part in the Buddhist Tantra, the number seven, and the whole notion of a "book with seals" has its roots rather in the Judæo-Roman than in the Indian tradition. The second possibility is therefore the most improbable. The third seems to me the one most likely. The remark about the "seven seals" may then have been incorporated into the Aṣṭa° at any time up to about A.D. 250.

¹ i.e. T224, k. 9, A.D. 180; T225, k. 6, A.D. 225.—It is found first in T221, k. 20, p. 144b 29, A.D. 290, and then in T223, k. 27, 420c 23-24; T227, k. 10, 583c 5, T220, k. 399, p. 1066a 28; and T228, k. 25, p. 673a 23.—I owe this information to the kindness of Professor Lamotte.

 Π

A set of four additions can be inferred from the fact that the name of Akṣobhya occurs in them. Originally the innovations of the Prajñāpāramitā literature were metaphysical. Its mythology remained that of the older Buddhism. In the bulk of the Aṣṭa° the names of persons and deities are common to both traditions, Hīnayāna and Mahāyāna. In the later part of the Aṣṭa°, however, names occur—sometimes in rather an abrupt manner—which belong to a different tradition, that of the Buddha Akṣobhya. I first set out a list of the proper names belonging to the cycle of Akṣobhya, as they are found in the Aṣṭa°:—

xix,	365 – 9	Gaṅgādevī Bhāginī
	366-7	Akṣobhya
	366	Tārakopama kalpa
	366 - 9	Suvarņapuṣpa (a Tathāgata)
xxvii,	450-2	\mathbf{A} kṣobhya
	449, 452	Ratnaketu (a Bodhisattva)
	449	Śikhin (a Bodhisattva)
xxviii,	45 8	Avakīrņakusuma (a Tathāgata)
		Tārakopama kalpa
	464a-5	Akṣobhya
	474	Akṣobhya
		Gandhahastin (a Bodhisattva)

An examination of these four passages will show that the text was worked over at a time when the cult of Akṣobhya came into vogue, and that a follower of Akṣobhya has inserted a number of references to him. Akṣobhya, as is well known, is a Buddha in the East, with Abhirati as his kingdom, or Buddha-field. He was very popular at the beginning of the Christian era, but only fragments of his legend have survived. In China he was known already in the Han period.¹ Even in the much later developments of the Tantra the prajñāpāramitā has always retained a special connexion with Akṣobhya.

We must now consider these four passages one by one :—

(1) The prediction of Bhāginī, A, xix, 365, 7–369. The Ganges Goddess Bhāginī is linked here with Akṣobhya, in whose Buddha-field she will be reborn. Her prediction to Buddhahood, which is like the similar story about the daughter of Sāgara, the Nāga king, in the Saddharmapundarīka, a concession of the Mahāyāna to women, interrupts the course of the argument. The sentence immediately preceding it runs: tathā ca prajňāpāramitāyām parijayam kariṣyāmi sarva-sattvānām kṛtaśo yathā prajňāpāramitāpi me tasmin samaye paripūrim gamiṣyatīti. "Thus will I master the perfection of wisdom for the

¹ Cf. Hobogirin, s.v. Ashuku.

² Cf. A. K. Maitra, "The river goddess Gangā," Rūpam, 6, 1921.—Vogel, "Gangā et Yamuna dans l'iconographie bouddhique," Etudes Asiatiques, 1925, ii, pp. 385—402.—A. Coomaraswamy, Yakshas, i, 36.

³ Ed. U. Wogihara and C. Tsuchida, 1933-5, chapter xi, pp. 226-8.

sake of all beings that also the perfection of wisdom shall be at that time fulfilled in me." The sentence which immediately follows on the story, i.e. xx, 370, runs: Subhūti: praj naparamitayam Bhagavamś caratā bodhisattvena mahāsattvena kathaṃ śūnyatāyāṃ parijayaḥ kartavyaḥ kathaṃ śūnyatā-samādhiḥ samāpattavyaḥ? "A Bodhisattva, a great being who moves in the perfection of wisdom, how should he master emptiness, how attain to the emptiness-concentration?" The joints are here still quite clearly visible. When the text was later on expanded into the Śata°, the pages immediately preceding the prediction of Bhāginī were completely rewritten,¹ so as to make the development of thought lead up to the story, which is absent also in Rgs.

- (2) xxvii, 449, 12—453, 5, is a stereotyped passage which says that the Buddhas will praise the Bodhisattva who dwells in perfect wisdom. The references to Akṣobhya are inserted quite mechanically in three places, and they contribute nothing to the progress of the argument. The first part of the passage, 449, 12—452, 9, is not mentioned in Rgs. The last part of it is (452, 9–453, 5 = v, 4) but without the reference to Akṣobhya. Judging from the distorted and involved grammatical structure of the relevant sentence in Aṣṭa° the reference to Akṣobhya may well have been inserted later.
- (3) xxviii, 457-8, is a short narrative note about the prediction of Avakīrnakusuma which has given the name to the chapter. That it is a later insertion is evident not only from its contents, and from its absence in Rgs, but also when we compare the last sentence of Chapter 27 with the first sentence which follows the story. At A, xxvii, 456, 6-12, we read: tasmāt tarhi Kauśika sarva-sattvānām agratām gantu-kāmena . . . kulaputreņa vā kuladuhitrā vā anena vihāreņa vihartavyam yo'yam bodhisattvānām mahāsattvānām prajñāpāramitāyām caratām viharatām vihāra iti. "Therefore, Kauśika, a son or daughter of good family who wishes to go to the highest state possible for any being . . . should live this life which is the life of Bodhisattvas, of great beings who move in the perfection of wisdom, who live in it." And then, at xxviii, 459, 1-2: tasmāt tarhy Ānanda bodhisattvair mahāsattvair uttamena vihāreņa vihartukāmaih prajnāpāramitāvihāreņa vihartavyam. "Therefore, Ānanda, the Bodhisattvas, the great beings who wish to live the best life, should live the life of perfect wisdom." The story breaks up the sequence of the two sentences.2
- (4) xxviii, 464a to 474, the end of the chapter, is marked at beginning and end by a reference to Akṣobhya. The first reference occurs in the description of the magical apparition of Akṣobhya's Buddha-field (pp. 464a-6), which is

 $^{^1}$ S, MS. Cambr. Add. 1632, chapter 42, folios 97b–102a; $\it P$, MS. Cambr. Add. 1628, folios 400a 8–404b 4.

² It is not easy to explain why the first sentence should be spoken to Sakra and the second to Ānanda. A solution is offered by Rgs, where the last verse of chapter 27 (v. 9) refers to A xxvii, 456, and the next verse (chapter 28, v. 1) to A xxviii 466, 2–9, which also follows smoothly on p. 456 and is also addressed to \bar{A} nanda. It may therefore be that the bulk of the whole passage from pp. 457 to 466 was added at a later time, with the exception of a reference to the dharmakośa (cf. A, p. 464, 12, and Rgs, chapter 28, v. 2), which would naturally be addressed to \bar{A} nanda.

clearly an insertion and absent from Rgs. The second is added quite mechanically at the end of an exposition of the advantages of perfect wisdom (pp. 471, 6–474), which is again lacking in Rgs.

The large Prajñāpāramitā has reproduced all the passages just mentioned relating to the cycle of Aksobhya except xxviii, 474, 2-4. In addition, the same circle of devotees of Aksobhya is responsible there for an insertion in the text which breaks the sequence of the argument at S308 = P91. Prajñāpāramitā does not begin immediately with the argument of the Asta°. It adds a long preliminary discourse on the aspects of the perfection of wisdom which are of special interest to the "Disciples". This preliminary discourse ends with the Venerable Sariputra, and the other great disciples, exclaiming: mahā-pāramiteyam Bhagavan bodhisattvānām mahāsattvānām yaduta prajňāpāramitā, etc. "A great perfection of the Bodhisattvas, the great beings, O Lord, is this, i.e. the perfection of wisdom." The praise of the perfection of wisdom is then followed (at S 316 = P 95) by a short narrative, showing that the Buddhas in all directions endorse the sermon of the Buddha Śākyamuni. Now, at the beginning and end of this passage a follower of Aksobhya has added. in the same mechanical fashion as in the $Asta^{\circ}$, two propagandistic references to Aksobhya. They are similar to the Aksobhya passages in the Asta°. The same conception of Abhirati as the Buddha-field, and of the Tārakopama kalpa, the same idea that many Tathagatas are reborn at the same time with the same name, and the same concern to find a place for women, by stating that "these 300 nuns, Ānanda, will be reborn in the 61st aeon as Tathāgatas, etc., Mahāketu by name."

III.

We now come to the evidence of the Ratnagunasamcayagāthā. I have listed in Table 1 those arguments and passages of the Asta° which are absent in it. It is noteworthy that Rgs omits all those parts of the Asta° which have, on internal grounds, been suspected as later additions. In addition, a number of further passages are missing from Rqs. It would be extremely rash to assume that none of them formed part of the Asta° at the time when the Rgs was The omissions consist of episodes, separate arguments, and composed. elaborations of arguments. Some of the episodes might have been considered as too insignificant, and some of the elaborations as too tedious for inclusion in the summary of the Rgs. Some of the arguments may be covered by the similes in which Rgs abounds. In the early chapters the verse summaries follow the text fairly closely. It is quite possible that, as the text of Asta° expanded, verse summaries to the new chapters were added by different authors, who were often quite content to pick out one sentence here and there. Moreover, the ordinary standards of literary criticism cannot be always applied, since in a prajñāpāramitā text one must be prepared for a fair amount of inconsequential reasoning.

¹ E.g. ii, 33–4, 41.

² E.g. iii, 75-6, vi, 138-142.

A comparison of Aṣṭa° and Rgs therefore can by itself not decide any particular issue. But in those cases where the text of the Aṣṭa° appears to have been recast at some time or other, the Rgs can often furnish important corroborative evidence. If we turn, for instance, to Chapters 8 and 9 we find large parts of them unrepresented in Rgs. Here the evidence of the large Prajñāpāramitā is curious in that the Chapters 26 to 28 of the Śatasāhasrikā omit most of the portions of Aṣṭa° which are absent in Rgs. Or, to take another point, Chapter 13 of the Aṣṭa° has all the features of an independent treatise.¹ Rgs reproduces only one short simile,² which may easily have belonged to the end of Chapter 12. It may be that at one time something was omitted from the Aṣṭa° to make room for Chapter 13, as the Chapter 12 of Rgs ends with four verses which have no counterpart in Aṣṭa°. These and other considerations must be left to others.

On the other hand, even the text envisaged by Rgs is not all of one piece. Some of it bears all the marks of later insertion. One unmistakable example is the end of Chapter 20, from page 380, 13, onwards, together with Chapter 21, up to page 395. The passage begins quite abruptly and breaks up the trend of the argument. The argumentation is laborious and fairly incoherent. style is fumbling and clumsy and the thought mediocre. The rambling discourse is held together by concern with the subject of irreversibility and prediction to Buddhahood. It seems to constitute a kind of afterthought to Chapter 17. The connexion with Chapter 17 is particularly evident in the passage xx, 383, 13-15, which sets the topic for the rest of xx and for xxi, and which repeats the formula characteristic of Chapter 17, i.e. punar aparam Subhūte yair ākārair yair lingair yair nimittair avinivartanīyo bodhisattvo mahāsattvo dhārayitavyas tān ākārāms tāni lingāni tāni nimittāni deśayişyāmi . . . " And again, Subhūti, the attributes, tokens and signs by which one should know the Bodhisattva, the great being, as irreversible, those attributes, tokens and signs I will demonstrate." That a complete break in the argument takes place at xx, 380, has been perceived by the Abhisamayālankāra, which at this point starts the 5th abhisamaya, and by the larger recensions of the Prajñāpāramitā, which here begin a new chapter.3

IV

With the help of the foregoing analysis we can I think determine how the Aṣṭa° ended at a certain stage of its development. Chapters 25 to 28, incoherent as they seem at present, do when freed of accretions, represent two different

yāvanti Buddha-kriya dharmata śrāvakānāṃ prajūāya pāramita sarva karoti tāni //

¹ The chapter shows great similarities to chapters 1–4 of the *Sandhinirmocana*, which also deal with the five marks of the Absolute. Cf. pp. 21 and 182 of E. Lamotte's translation, 1935.

² A 281, 8–14. Rgs xiii, 1:—
yo eva paśyati sa paśyati sarva-dharmān
sarvān amātya kariyāti upeksya rājā /

³ i.e. Sanskrit Śata, chapter 45, Tibetan P, chapter 45, Tibetan Ad, chapter 55, P trsl. Mokṣala, chapter 62, P, trsl. Kumārajīva, chapter 61, P, trsl. Hiuen tsiang, chapter 60.

treatises, one (I) on the supreme excellence of the perfection of wisdom (marked SE in Table 2), and another (II) on the "Entrusting" of the *Sūtra* to Ānanda (marked P in Table 2).

I. A praising of the excellence of perfect wisdom would be a fitting conclusion to the work, just as in Saddharmapundarīka the exposition of the principal message in Chapter 15 is followed, in Chapters 16 to 20 by a praising of its advantages. In Asta°, likewise, this is the recurring theme from Chapter 25 onwards. This is obvious in Chapter 25, especially when we pay attention to such expressions as sarva-sattva-sārā on p. 426, 10, sarva-sattvānām agratāyām śikṣate, at p. 431, 15, and to the praise, in xxvi, 434-8, of the great merit of the sattva-sārā. At the end of Chapter 26 this trend of thought is interrupted by a short essay on the illusory nature of all things (pp. 438-443), which may be one of the Sūtra's inconsequential turns, or may have been inserted at a later date.1 Chapter 27 continues the argument when it acclaims the Bodhisattvas as "doers of what is hard" (duşkara-kārakā), and expounds (pp. 444-456) the sublimity of their achievement, which it attributes to their capacity for winning complete detachment, and for practising "non-apprehension". In consequence the Bodhisattva is honoured and protected (pp. 446-9), and the Buddhas laud him because he "dwells" in perfect wisdom (pp. 449-452). Page 453 then resumes the topic of "doers of what is hard", returns to "detachment", "non-apprehension", and the "dwelling in the perfection of wisdom", and the chapter concludes with a tribute to the supreme excellence of the prajñāpāramitā (p. 456). After a short interruption (see above II, no. 3) the theme of supreme excellence is resumed in xxviii, 459, 1, and continued until p. 460, 14, where it gives way to the "transmission" of the perfection of wisdom to Ānanda.

II. The *Parīndanā* begins at xxviii, 460, 14, goes on to p. 464a, and is resumed again in Chapter xxxii, 527. Page 527, 15, follows logically immediately on p. 464a. In the printed editions of the *Aṣṭa*° the context of the argument has been here obscured by the omission of a palm leaf. I reproduce the missing portion of the text in an Appendix.

The authenticity of the $S\bar{u}tras$ of the Great Vehicle was disputed by the followers of the old tradition, who maintained that they were "not the Buddha word but poetry made by poets". Since, according to tradition, Ānanda was the repository of the Scriptures and of the $S\bar{u}tras$ in particular, this accusation was countered by the claim that the Buddha had entrusted the $S\bar{u}tra$ in question to Ānanda. A parīndanā is also given in the Saddharmapundarīka, and in

¹ The little treatise begins quite abruptly on p. 438, 16. After it, chapter 27 has first two sentences which refer back to xxvi, 434, 6, and the third, 444, 8–11, refers back and links up with xxvi, 438, 10–15, the sentence immediately preceding the suspected insertion. On the other hand, the api at 444, 11, refers back to xxvi, 440, 17, right in the middle of the treatise. A definite decision seems at present not possible here.

² naitad buddhavacanam kavi-kṛtam kāvyam etat. Aṣṭa°, xvii, 328, an echo of Samyutta Nikāya, ii, 267. Cf. A.N., iii, 107.

³ E. Lamotte, Le traité de la grande vertue de sagesse, i, pp. 101-5, 223.

⁴ Chapter 27, pp. 392-3, anuparīndanā.

Verses of Rgs

 $Da\$abh\bar{u}mika$, though the $Saddharmapundar\bar{\iota}ka$ is entrusted not to $\bar{\Lambda}$ nanda but to the Bodhisattvas. For the rest the situation in the $Saddharmapundar\bar{\iota}ka$ is analogous to that of the A\$ta°. At the end of the prose version of Chapter 20 we have a remark on the $par\bar{\iota}ndan\bar{a}$ of this $dharmapary\bar{\iota}ya$, and it is probable that originally Chapter 27 followed immediately on Chapter 20.3

The suggestions which I have put forward in the course of this article have dealt in the main with the latter part of the $S\bar{u}tra$ as that most likely to have been remodelled in the course of time. The problems which touch on the remainder of the $Asta^{\circ}$ must be left to the efforts of others.

TABLE 1				
Correspondence	BETWEEN	$Asta^{\circ}$	AND	Rgs

Pages of Asta° represented in Rgs.	$Omissions.^4$	which do not correspond to Asta°.
i, 1–32	ii, 33-4, Introduction	
ii, 34–41	ii, 41, Śakra's flowers	
ii, 41–9	iii, 50-7, 10, various guņas, and prajňāpāramitā as	
iii, 57–75	a vidyā (cf. v. 5)	
m, 57–75	iii, 75–80, various guṇas (75–6); episode of heretics and Māra's attack (76–80)	
iii, 80–2	·	
	iii, 82–92, various advantages from perfect wisdom (cf. v. 8)	
iv, 94–101	v, 102-112, Merit	v, vv. 2, 5–8
v, 112-13	v, 114–122, Merit	
v, 122–134 vi, 135–8		
	vi, 138, 12-142, 12, Metaphysical questions on "turning over" (cf. v. 5)	
vi, 142–3	vi, 143, 3-150, 11, Further metaphysics (cf. v. 6)	
vi, 150–161	vi, 161-9, Further elaboration of anumodanā, etc.	
viii, 186–195	vii, 170–1, Litany	vii, v. 7
viii, 100 100	viii, 196-9, Like space and an echo. Conclusion	: 1
ix, 200-1	ix, 200, Episode of Maitreya	ix, v. l
	ix, 201-7, Great gain from perfect wisdom; second turning of the wheel of dharma;	
	Litany x, 208–211, 5, Past deeds account for present	
x, 211–220	attitude to perfection of wisdom	
	x, 221-230, Bodhisattvas sustained by Buddhas; prediction about spread of the prajñāpāramitā; description of Bodhisattvas who in the future will study it	
xi, 232–5	·	
1 Chapter 0	xi, 235–8, Three similes	

 $^{^{1}}$ Chapter 9.

² Ed. Wogihara-Tsuchida, pp. 330-1.

³ H. Kern, The Saddharma pundarika, 1909, Introduction, pp. xxxi, xi-xxii. W. E. Soothill, The Lotus of the Wonderful Law, 1930, p. 28.

⁴ The omissions discussed in sections I and II are marked with an asterisk.

EDWARD CONZE

260	EDWARD CONZE	
Pages of Asta° represented in Rgs.	$Omissions.^1$	Verses of Rgs which do not correspond to Aṣṭa.°
xi, 239–240	xi, 240-2, Elaboration of Māra's deeds	
xi, 242–4 xi, 248–252	xi, 244-8, Elaboration of Māra's deeds	
xii, 253–7	xii, 257-270, How the Tathāgata views the world xiii, 277-281, 8, The perfection of wisdom is unthinkable, etc.; narrative	xii, vv. 6–9
xiii, 281, 9-14 xiv, 284-291 xv, 292-305	xiii, 281-3, Nothing to take hold of; narrative	xiii, v. 2
xvi, 306–311	xvi, 312-321, Perfect wisdom and skill in means; enlightenment and emptiness; the three vehicles; requisites of going forth to enlightenment	
xvi, 321, 13–322 xvii, 323–340 xviii, 341–351 xix, 352–6	07F 000 No. 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
xix, 361-5	xix, 357–360, No objective support and no own-being	xix, vv. 3-5
xx, 370–384 xxi, 385–395	xix, 365-9, *Prediction of Bhāginī xx	x, vv. 5–7, 11–16 ?, 17–20
xxii, 396–405	xxii, 405-9, Emptiness and growth in enlightenment xxiii, 410-13, How the Bodhisattvas are superior to Śakra	xxii, v. 6 xxiii, vv. 1, 3
xxiii, 413	xxiii, 414-15, Rewards of perfect wisdom	, ,
xxiv, 416	xxiv, 417-420, Conditions which lay a Bodhisattva open to the influence of Māra	xxiv, vv. 2, 6
xxiv, 420	xxiv, 421-3, The Bodhisattva's right attitude to other Bodhisattvas	
xxv, 424–8	xxv, 428–430, Fewness of Bodhisattvas	
xxv, 430–3 xxvi, 435–443	xxvi, 434-5, 14, Śakra praises the Bodhisattvas	xxvi, vv. 2, 3
XXVI, 430–443	xxvii, 444-6, What is hard to do, and the Bodhisattva's courage in doing it	
xxvii, 446, 19-449, 19	xxvii, 449, 19-452, 9, *The Buddhas praise the Bodhisattva	xxvii, v. 8
xxvii, 452–6	xxviii, 457-8, *Prediction of Avakīrņakusuma xxviii, 459-464a, Praise of perfect wisdom; transmission of prajňāpāramitā to Ānanda (but cf. v. 2, which refers to both p. 464 and pp. 467-8) xxviii, 464a-466, *Akşobhya's Buddha-field	, V. O
xxviii, 466–471	xxviii, 471-4, *Advantages derived from perfect wisdom xxix, 475-480, *Litany xxx, 481-511, *Sadāprarudita xxxi, 512-526, *Dharmodgata xxxii, 527-9, *End of story of Sadāprarudita; transmission to Ānanda ¹ See footnote on previous page.	vv. 1–14 vv. 1–14 vv. 1–18 vv. 1–6

TABLE 2 ${\it TABLE 2}$ The Composition of the Later Parts of the ${\it Astasahasrik}$ ${\it a}$ ${\it 1}$

" Original " Sūtra	III	II	I
i–xii			
xiv-xviii	xiii		
xix, 352-6	xix, 357–360		
xix, 361-5	xix, 357–300	wiw 265 0	
xx, 370–380 xx, 380–xxi, 395? xxii, 396–405		xix, 365-9	
	xxii, 405-9 xxiii, 410-413		
xxiii, 413 ?	xxiii, 414–15 ?		
xxiv, 416?	xxiv, 417-420		
xxiv, 420 ?	xxiv, 421-3		
xxv, 424-8 SE			
xxv, 430-3 SE	xxv, 428-430 SE		
xxvi, 435-8 SE	xxvi, 434–5 SE		
xxvi, 438-443 ?	xxvii, 444-6 SE		
xxvii, 446-8 SE		xxvii, 449-452	
xxvii, 452–6 SE		xxviii, 457–8	
	xxviii, 459–460 SE xxviii, 460–4a P		
xxviii, 466-471 SE	,	xxviii, 464a–6	
•		xxviii, 471–4	xxix
			xxx xxxi
	xxxii, 527-9 P		xxxii, 527

¹ Column I shows the items eliminated by section I of this article, and by Rgs; column II gives those which contain a reference to Aksobhya and are absent in Rgs (see section II); column III gives those which are missing in Rgs from chapter 19 onwards in full. A question-mark indicates a doubt about the inclusion. For SE and P see p. 258.

APPENDIX

Here I reproduce the palm leaf which is missing in Mitra's edition of the Aṣṭa, after the Bodleian MS. Sansk. a. 7 (R), fol. 165v-166a. A large part of the passage is quoted by Śāntideva in Śikṣāsamuccaya 351, 9-352, 6. The missing passage comes between pp. 464 and 465 of Mitra's edition, and I have marked it as 464a.

sacet tvam Ānanda śrāvaka-yāni- (p. 464a)

kānām pudgalānām śrāvaka-bhūmau dharmam deśayes, tasyām ca dharma-

deśanāyām ye tri-sāhasra-mahāsāhasre loka-dhātau sattvās te sarve arhattvam sākṣātkuryus, teṣām api tvayā me śrāvakeṇa dharma-cakra-pravarttanā-nupravarttanato dharmam deśayato śrāvaka-kṛtyam na kṛtam syāt. sacet punas tvam Ānanda bodhisattvasya mahāsasttvasyaikam api prajñāpāramitā-pratisaṃyuktaṃ dharma-padaṃ deśayeḥ saṃprakāśayer, evam ahaṃ tvayā śrāvakeṇa dharma-cakra-pravarttanānupravarttanato dharmaṃ deśayata ārādhitaḥ syān, na tu tayā paurvikayā dharma-deśanayā yayā te tri-sāhasra-mahāsāhasre loka-dhātau sarva-sattvā arhattvaṃ prāpitās, teṣām cārhatāṃ yad dānamayaṃ puṇya-kriyā-vastu śīlamayaṃ puṇyakriyāvastu bhāvanā-mayaṃ puṇya-kriyā-vastu, tat kiṃ manyase Ānandāpi nu sa bahuḥ puṇya-skandhah?

(Ānanda) āha: Bahu Bhagavan bahu Sugata.

Bhagavān āha: Tatas sa Ānanda śrāvaka-yānikaḥ pudgalo bahutaram puṇyaṃ prasavati yo bodhisattvānām mahāsattvānām prajñāpāramitā-pratisamyuktam dharmam deśayati. ato 'pi sa Ānanda bahutaram punyam prasavati yo bodhisattvo mahāsattvo 'parasya bodhisattvasya mahāsattvasya prajñāpāramitā-pratisamvuktam dharmam deśayati, antaśa eka-divasam api. tisthatv Ānandaika-divasam antaśaḥ purobhaktam api. tiṣṭhatv Ānanda purobhaktam dharmo deśitah, antaśa eka-nālikām apy eka-nālikāntaram api vā. Ānanda eka-nālikāntaram antaśo muhūrttam api. tisthatv Ānanda muhūrttam antaśa eka-lavam api. tisthatv Ānanda ekalavam antaśa eka-kṣaṇam api. tişthatv Ānandaikakṣaṇam antaśa eka-kṣaṇa-sannipātam api. yo hy Ānanda bodhisattvo mahāsattvo 'parasya bodhisattvasya mahāsattvasyaika-kṣaṇalava-muhūrttam api prajnapāramita-pratisamyuktam dharma-padam deśayaty, bahutaram punyam prasavati. idam hy Ānanda tasya bodhisattvasya mahāsattvasya dharma-dānam sarva-śrāvaka-pratyekabuddhaabhibhavati. kuśala-mūlāny evam Ānanda vānikānām samanvāgato bodhisattvo mahāsattvah, evam etat kuśala-mūlam samanvāharann, asthānam etad Ānandānavakāśo yat sa bodhisattvo mahāsattvo vivarttetānuttarāyāh samyaksambodheh, na caitat sthānam vidyate.

Atha khalu Bhagavāṃs tasyāṃ velāyāṃ tathārūpaṃ ṛddhy-abhisaṃ-skāram abhisaṃskṛtānyathārūpeṇa sarddhy-abhisaṃskāreṇābhisaṃskṛtena tāś catasraḥ parṣado bhikṣu-bhikṣuṇy-upāsakopāsikā deva-nāga-yakṣa-gandharvā-sura-garuḍa-kinnara-mahoraga-manuṣyāmanuṣyā vā, sarve te Buddhā-nubhāvenākṣobhyaṃ tathā-

(p. 465) gatam arhantam samyaksambuddham pasyanti sma, etc.

A similar enumeration with titthatu is found in Dīgha Nikāya ii, 314. For purobhakta, Šikṣāsamuccaya gives prāgbhakta, Tib. sna-dro "forenoon". The lists of short divisions of time in Abhidharmakośa iii, 179, Divyāvadāna 643–4, and Mahāvyutpatti 253 differ from the one given here. Anguttara Nikāya iv, 137, has khano, layo, and muhutto.